WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

١	1	
١	,	

	٧.	UR	RUER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Enrique Harris-Valdez	Case Number	er: <u>09-6012M</u>	
present and v		e by a preponderance of the	ring was held on January 13, 2009. Defendant was e evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	e United States or lawfully a	admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the cl	·	·	
	If released herein, the defendant	nt faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs and the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported		
	The defendant has no significant of	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in to assure his/her future appearance	in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated ice.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal	history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexic	co.		
	The defendant is an amnesty app substantial family ties to Mexico.	licant but has no substanti	tial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has	
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by	by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximur	n of	_ years imprisonment.	
at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as r	noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	ll Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	DIREC'	ditions will reasonably assu		
a corrections appeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practical defendant shall be afforded a reasonal States or on request of an attorney for the United States Marshal for the purp	ole, from persons awaiting o ble opportunity for private co the Government, the person cose of an appearance in co		
deliver a copy	ORDERED that should an appeal of the	LS AND THÌRD PARTY RE nis detention order be filed v on to Pretrial Services at lea	with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to ast one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release iciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be con- ore the District Court to allo	nsidered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria ow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DAT	TED this 13 th day of January,	2009.		
		Jones -		

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge